Can you hear the shape of a jet?

Rikab Gambhir With Akshunna S. Dogra (A ()), Demba Ba (A ()), Abiy Tasissa (A ()), & Jesse Thaler (A ()),

Email me questions at rikab@mit.edu! Based on [Ba, Dogra, **RG**, Tasissa, Thaler, 2211.XXXX] (Coming Soon!)



Fundamental Question: What shape is this?



Pictured: (Fake) event that you might have measured at the LHC

Red dots are detector hits on a patch of the LHC cylinder, weighted by energy

Goal: Construct an observable **(**) that generically answers this question!

Fundamental Question: What shape is this?



3

Using the **SHAPER** framework ...

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}) = \min_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \text{EMD}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$$
$$\theta = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \text{EMD}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$$

Circle with radius 0.767, center (0.50, 0.36) and a "circle-ness" value of 0.32.

Yes, you CAN hear the shape of a jet!







SHAPER: Learning the Shape of Collider Events



Structure Points

Event

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{E}) = \min_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M} \\ \mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \mathrm{EMD}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$$
$$\theta = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \mathrm{EMD}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$$

Framework for defining and calculating useful observables for collider physics!



- BERTRAND RUSSELL, Study of Mathematics



Robust Observables

Images from [Bothmann et. al., 1905.09127; Lee, Męcaj, Moult, 2205.03414; Dreyer, Salam, Soyez, 1807.04758 CMS, 1810.10069; ATLAS, 1703.10485]

We want Robust Observables!







 Generalizes events - *any* probability distribution of energy could be an event! Events can be **real** or **idealized**

Observables and Wasserstein

It can be shown that *any* observable on events, that^{*} \dots

- 1. ... is non-negative and finite
- 2. ... is IRC-safe
- 3. ... is translationally invariant
- 4. ... is invariant to particle labeling
- 5. ... respects the detector metric *faithfully***

... can be written as an optimization of the Wasserstein Metric (Earth/Energy Mover's Distance) between the real event and a manifold of idealized energy flows $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}) = \min_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M} \\ \mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \mathrm{EMD}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$ $\theta = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \mathrm{EMD}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$



EMD = Work done to move "dirt" optimally

*Ask me for more details on this offline!

^{*} Preserves distances between *extended* objects, not just points





Rikab Gambhir – ML4Jets – 04 November 2022

11



S. Brandt, C. Peyrou, R. Sosnowski and A. Wroblewski, PRL 12 (1964) 57-61; Observable ⇔ Manifolds

Many existing observables have this form!

Observables \Leftrightarrow Manifold of Shapes

- *N*-subjettines \Leftrightarrow Manifold of *N*-point events
- *N*-jettiness \Leftrightarrow Manifold of *N*-point events with floating total energy
- Thrust \Leftrightarrow Manifold of back-to-back point events
- Event Isotropy \Leftrightarrow Uniform distribution
- ... and more!

All of the form "How much like [shape] does my event look like?"

We generalize this to build more observables!

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{E}) = \min_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \text{EMD}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$$
$$\theta = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \text{EMD}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$$

I. W. Stewart, F. J. Tackmann, and W. J. Waalewijn, 1004.2489.;

[P. Komiske, E. Metodiev, and J. Thaler, 2004.04159;

J. Thaler, and K. Van Tilburg, 1011.2268;

C. Cesarotti, and J. Thaler, 2004.06125]

FI

Rapidity Parameterized circle written as an energy flow

*Uniform prior by choice for simplicity. In principle, we can pick any parameterized normalized distribution.

Rikab Gambhir – ML4Jets – 04 November 2022

Shape Observables

Let's go further! Consider any manifold of parameterized energy flows



14

Shape Observables

Given *any* manifold of parameterized energy flows (probability distributions) \mathcal{M} , representing shapes, we define the **shape observable** \mathcal{O} and **shape parameters** θ on an event \mathcal{E} as:

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{E}) = \min_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \text{EMD}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$ $\theta = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \text{EMD}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$

Shape observables are the generalization of event and jet shapes like N-(sub)jettiness, thrust, and isotropy!

[Ba, Dogra, RG, Tasissa, Thaler, 2211.XXXX]

arameterized energy flows



Parameterized circle written as an energy flow

prior by choice for simplicity. In principle, we can pick any parameterized normalized distribution.

Hearing Shapes

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{E})$ answers: "How much like a shape in \mathcal{M} does my event \mathcal{E} look like?"

 $\theta_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{E})$ answers: "Which shape in \mathcal{M} does my event \mathcal{E} look like?"

Can define complex manifolds to probe increasingly subtle geometric structure, and even old combine shape observables to create new composite ones!

Shape	Specification	Illustration
$\frac{\mathbf{Ringiness}}{\mathcal{O}_R}$	Manifold of Rings $\mathcal{E}_{x_0,R_0}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi R_0}$ for $ x - x_0 = R_0$ $x_0 = \text{Center}, R_0 = \text{Radius}$	
Diskiness \mathcal{O}_D	Manifold of Disks $\mathcal{E}_{x_0,R_0}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi R_0^2}$ for $ x - x_0 \le R_0$ $x_0 = \text{Center}, R_0 = \text{Radius}$	•••
Ellipsiness \mathcal{O}_E	Manifold of Ellipses $\mathcal{E}_{x_0,a,b,\varphi}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi ab} \text{ for } x \in \text{Ellipse}_{x_0,a,b,\varphi}$ $x_0 = \text{Center}, a, b = \text{Semi-axes}, \varphi = \text{Tilt}$	
(Ellipse Plus Point)iness	$egin{array}{c} {f Composite \ Shape} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	
N-(Ellipse Plus Point)iness Plus Pileup	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Composite Shape} \\ N \times \left(\mathcal{O}_E \oplus \tau_1 \right) \oplus \mathcal{I} \end{array}$	۵

Some examples of shapes you can define!





SHAPER

Shape-Hunting Algorithm using Parameterized Energy Reconstruction

- Framework for defining and building IRC-safe observables using parameterized objects
- Easy to programmatically define new observables by specifying parameterization, or by combining shapes
- Returns EMD distance and optimal shape parameters



[J. Feydy, tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-02945979; B. Charlier, J. Feydy, J. Alexis Glaunès F. D. Collin, G. Durif, JMLR:v22:20-275; J. Feydy, T. Séjourné, F. X. Vialard, S. Amari, A. Trouvé, G. Peyré, 1810.08278]

Estimating Wasserstein

We need a *differentiable*, fast approximation to the EMD for our minimizations

Sinkhorn Divergence: A strictly convex approximation to EMD! Kantorovich potential formalism::

Algorithm 3.4: Symmetric Sinkhorn algorithm, with debiasing Parameters: Cost function $C : (x_i, y_j) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \mapsto C(x_i, y_j) \in \mathbb{R}$, Temperature $\varepsilon > 0$. Input: Positive measures $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$ and $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \beta_j \delta_{y_j}$ with the same mass.		
1: $f_i^{\beta \to \alpha}$, g	$a \rightarrow \beta, f_i^{\alpha \leftrightarrow \alpha}, g_j^{\beta \leftrightarrow \beta} \leftarrow 0_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}, 0_{\mathbb{R}^{M}}, 0_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}, 0_{\mathbb{R}^{M}}$	⊳ Dual vectors
2: repeat	> The four lines below are executed simultaneous	
3: $f_i^{\beta \rightarrow}$	$\alpha \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} f_i^{\beta \to \alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \min_{y \sim \beta, \varepsilon} \left[\mathbf{C}(x_i, y) - g^{\alpha \to \beta}(y) \right]$	$, \qquad \triangleright \alpha \leftarrow \beta$
$g_j^{\alpha ightarrow}$	$\beta \leftarrow \frac{1}{2}g_j^{\alpha \to \beta} + \frac{1}{2}\min_{x \sim \alpha, \varepsilon} \left[\mathbf{C}(x, y_j) - f^{\beta \to \alpha}(x) \right]$], $\triangleright \beta \leftarrow \alpha$
$f_i^{\alpha\leftrightarrow}$	$a \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} f_i^{\alpha \leftrightarrow \alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \min_{x \sim \alpha, \varepsilon} \left[\mathbf{C}(x_i, x) - f^{\alpha \leftrightarrow \alpha}(x) \right]$], $\triangleright \alpha \leftarrow \alpha$
$g_{i}^{\beta\leftrightarrow}$	$\beta \leftarrow \frac{1}{2}g_{j}^{\beta\leftrightarrow\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\min_{y\sim\beta,\varepsilon} \left[\mathbf{C}(y,y_{j}) - g^{\beta\leftrightarrow\beta}(y) \right]$. $\triangleright \beta \leftarrow \beta$
4: until co	nvergence up to a set tolerance. > Monitor	the updates on the potentials
5: return	$f_i^{\beta \to \alpha} - f_i^{\alpha \leftrightarrow \alpha}, q_i^{\alpha \to \beta} - q_i^{\beta \leftrightarrow \beta} $ > Debiased dual	al potentials $F(x_i)$ and $G(y_i)$

Implemented using the KerOps+GeomLoss Python Package!

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}) = \min_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}} \left[S_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') \right] \text{ and } \theta(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}} \left[S_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') \right], \text{ where}$$

$$S_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') = \operatorname{OT}_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{OT}_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{OT}_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{E}_{\theta}, \mathcal{E}_{\theta}), \text{ and}$$

$$\operatorname{OT}_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') = \max_{f,g:\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{M} E_i f(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} E'_j g(y_j) - \epsilon^{\beta} \log \left(\sum_{ij} E_i E'_j \left(e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\beta}} (f(x_i) + g(y_j) - \frac{d(x_i, y_j)^{\beta}}{R^{\beta}}} \right) \right) \right) \right]$$
Can take gradients with respect to the entire event – very useful!
$$See \text{ Ouail Kitouni's talk later for more!}$$
Rikab Gambhir – ML4Jets – 04 November 2022

Can

The SHAPER Algorithm

 $\min_{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}} \mathrm{EMD}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$

To estimate a shape observable ...

- 1. Define a parameterized distribution that can be sampled \Leftrightarrow Manifold $\mathcal M$
- 2. Initialize the parameters θ in an IRC-safe way (Usually k_{T})
- 3. Use the Sinkhorn Algorithm to estimate the EMD between your event \mathcal{E} and shape \mathcal{E}_{ρ}
- 4. Calculate the gradients of the EMD using the Kantorovich potentials
- 5. Use the gradients to update θ (using ADAM or another optimizer)
- 6. Repeat 3-5 until convergence
- 7. Return the loss \mathcal{O}_{M} and the optimal parameters θ_{M}

Loss and Shape (\mathcal{O}, θ)

Rikab Gambhir – ML4Jets – 04 November 2022

SHAPER

Fun Animations

How triangle-y is an event? (Boundary or filled in)?



Rikab Gambhir – ML4Jets – 04 November 2022

20

N-Subjettiness

Easy to compute your favorite classic jet observables!

We can even get gradients of our observables with respect to the events!



[see also L., B. Nachman, A. Schwartzman, C. Stansbury, 1509.02216; see also B. Nachman, P. Nef, A. Schwartzman, M. Swiatlowski, C. Wanotayaroj, 1407.2922; see also M. Cacciari, G. Salam, 0707.1378]

New IRC-Safe Observables

The **SHAPER** framework makes it easy to invent new jet observables!

- e.g. *N-Ellipsiness+Pileup* as a jet algorithm.
 - Learn jet centers
 - Dynamic jet radii (no *R* hyperparameter)
 - Dynamic eccentricities and angles
 - Dynamic jet energies
 - Learned parameters for discrimination

Can design custom specialized jet algorithms to learn jet substructure!



New IRC-Safe Observables

23



Low Max Eccentricity (.001)



Grooming with Shapes



Outlook

- The Wasserstein metric is *the* natural language for jet observables, based on IRC-safety and geometry!
- **SHAPER** is a machine learning framework for calculating generalized observables programmatically!
- Playground for defining and building custom observables and jet algorithms!

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}) = \min_{\substack{\mathcal{E}_{\theta}' \in \mathcal{M}}} \text{EMD}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}_{\theta}')$$
$$\theta = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta}' \in \mathcal{M}} \text{EMD}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}_{\theta}')$$



25

Outlook

- The Wasserstein metric is *the* natural language for jet observables, based on IRC-safety and geometry!
- **SHAPER** is a machine learning framework for calculating generalized observables programmatically!
- Playground for defining and building custom observables and jet algorithms!

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}) = \min_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M} \\ \mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \mathrm{EMD}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$$
$$\theta = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\substack{\mathcal{E}'_{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}}} \mathrm{EMD}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{E}'_{\theta})$$

Using **SHAPER**, you **CAN** hear the shape of a jet!

More questions? Email me at <u>rikab@mit.edu</u> Appearing on arXiv soon! (Plus code!)



Appendices



27



Observables on CMS OpenData



Rikab Gambhir – ML4Jets – 04 November 2022

28

Performance Benchmarks



IRC Safety

Infrared Safety: An observable is unchanged under a soft emission



Collinear Safety: An observable is unchanged under a collinear splitting





Building SHAPER^{ee also S. Roweis and L.Saul, DOI: 10.1126/science.290.5500.2323]}

[P. Tankala, A. Tasissa, J. M. Murphy, D. Ba, 2012.02134; see also F.Dornaika, L.Weng, DOI: 0.1007/s13042-019-01035-z;

Key Component: The Loss function! Step 1: Manifold Learning

$$\mathcal{L}_{R}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') = \min_{\pi_{ij} \ge 0} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M'} \pi_{ij} \frac{|x_i - x'_j|}{R} \right],$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{M} \pi_{ij} = 1$



Ai

K-Deep Simplices, **Dictionary Learning, & Manifold Learning**



Building SHAPER

[P. Komiske, E. Metodiev, J. Thaler, 1902.02346; see also T. Cai, J. Cheng, K. Craig, N. Craig, 2111.03670; see also C. Zhang, Y. Cai, G. Lin, C. Shen, 2003.06777; see also L. Hou, C. Yu, D. Samaras, 1611.05916; see also M. Arjovsky, S. Chintala, L. Bottou, 1701.07875]

Key Component: The Loss function! Step 2: Physical Principles





Building SHAPER

Key Component: The Loss function! Step 3: Synthesis



